

1 BENJAMIN B. WAGNER
United States Attorney
2 YOSHINORI H. T. HIMEL
Assistant United States Attorneys
3 501 I Street, Suite 10-100
Sacramento, CA 95814
4 Telephone: (916) 554-2700
Facsimile: (916) 554-2900
5

6 Attorneys for Petitioner United States of America
7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

14 KENDALL O. BATES,

15 Respondent,
16

1:12-cv-00701-LJO-SMS

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE:
CIVIL CONTEMPT**

Date: March 13, 2013
Time: **8:15 a.m. NEW TIME**
Ctmm: 4, 7th Floor
Honorable Judge Lawrence J. O'Neill

17 Upon the Petition of BENJAMIN B. WAGNER, United States Attorney for the
18 Eastern District of California, and its exhibits including the Declaration of Revenue Officer
19 Lorena Ramos, it is hereby:

20 ORDERED that the Respondent, KENDALL O. BATES, appear before United States
21 District Judge Lawrence J. O'Neill, in that Judge's courtroom in the United States
22 Courthouse, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, 93721, on March 13, 2013 at **8:15 a.m.**,
23 and that Respondent show cause as follows:

24 1. Why Respondent, KENDALL O. BATES, should not be held in civil contempt
25 of this Court for his failure to comply with the Order filed August 10, 2012, directing
26 Respondent to comply with the I.R.S. Summons issued on August 5, 2011.

27 ///

28 ///

1 2. Why the Respondent should not be incarcerated and ordered to pay a daily fine
2 until he complies with the Order, and ordered to pay a compensatory fine to the United
3 States.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within seven (7) calendar days after the filing of
5 this Order, the Respondent shall file and serve a written Response to the Order Adopting
6 Findings and Recommendations filed August 10, 2012. Only those issues brought into
7 controversy by the responsive papers and supported by declaration will be considered at the
8 hearing on this Order, and any uncontested allegation in the Petition will be considered
9 admitted.

10 Respondent is hereby notified that a failure to comply with the Order will subject
11 Respondent to possible further sanctions for contempt of Court.

12
13
14
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: February 1, 2013

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE