

1 BENJAMIN B. WAGNER  
United States Attorney  
2 YOSHINORI H. T. HIMEL  
Assistant United States Attorneys  
3 501 I Street, Suite 10-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
4 Telephone: (916) 554-2700  
Facsimile: (916) 554-2900  
5

6 Attorneys for Petitioner United States of America  
7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
12 Petitioner,  
13 v.  
14 KENDALL O. BATES,  
15 Respondent,  
16

1:12-cv-00701-LJO-SMS

**AMENDED ORDER TO SHOW  
CAUSE RE: CIVIL CONTEMPT**

Date: March 13, 2013  
Time: **8:15 A.M. NEW TIME**  
Ctrm: 4, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Honorable Judge Lawrence J. O'Neill

17 Upon the Petition of BENJAMIN B. WAGNER, United States Attorney for the  
18 Eastern District of California, and its exhibits including the Declaration of Revenue Officer  
19 Lorena Ramos, it is hereby:

20 ORDERED that the Respondent, KENDALL O. BATES, appear before United States  
21 District Judge Lawrence J. O'Neill, in that Judge's courtroom in the United States  
22 Courthouse, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, 93721, on March 13, 2013 at **8:15 a.m.**,  
23 and that Respondent show cause as follows:

24 1. Why Respondent, KENDALL O. BATES, should not be held in civil contempt  
25 of this Court for his failure to comply with the Order filed August 10, 2012, directing  
26 Respondent to comply with the I.R.S. Summons issued on August 5, 2011.

27 ///

28 ///

1           2.       Why the Respondent should not be incarcerated and ordered to pay a daily fine  
2 until he complies with the Order, and ordered to pay a compensatory fine to the United  
3 States.

4           IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within seven (7) calendar days after the filing of  
5 this Order, the Respondent shall file and serve a written response to the “United States’  
6 Petition Re: Contempt of Order Filed August 10, 2012,” filed January 31, 2013. Only those  
7 issues brought into controversy by the responsive papers and supported by declaration will  
8 be considered at the hearing on this Order, and any uncontested allegation in the Petition will  
9 be considered admitted.

10           Respondent is hereby notified that a failure to comply with the Order will subject  
11 Respondent to possible further sanctions for contempt of Court.

12  
13  
14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15       Dated: February 1, 2013

/s/ Lawrence J. O’Neill  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE