Roberts et al v. UE	BS AG et al Doc. 30
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	NADIA ROBERTS, et al., CASE NO. CV F 12-0724 LJO SKO
11	Plaintiffs, ORDER TO VACATE HEARING
12	vs. (Doc. 26.)
13	UBS AG, et al.,
14	Defendants.
15	/
16	On January 14, 2013, defendant UBS AG filed a F.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss plaintiffs'
17	First Amended Complaint and set a February 11, 2013 hearing. This Court's Local Rule 230(c)
18	provides: "Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be in writing and shall be filed and
19	served not less than fourteen (14) days preceding the noticed hearing date No party will be
20	entitled to be heard in opposition to a motion at oral arguments if opposition to the motion has not been
21	timely filed by that party."
22	The deadline to file papers to oppose the defense motion to dismiss expired on January 28, 2013.
23	As such, this Court considers the motion to dismiss as unopposed and VACATES the February 11, 2013
24	hearing. This Court will issue its ruling on the motion to dismiss based on the current record and will
25	entertain no further filings to address the motion to dismiss.
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.
27	Dated: January 29, 2013 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	ONTED STATES DISTRICT JODGE
	1
	Dockets Justia com