| 1 | | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | ROBERTO M. GARCIA, JR., | 1:12-cv-750AWI GSA (PC) |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR |
| 13 | V. | APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL |
| 14 | MATTHEW M. JUAREZ, JR., | (Document# 23) |
| 15 | Defendant. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | On June 23, 2014, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff | |
| 18 | does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland, 113 | |
| 19 | F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff | |
| 20 | pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern | |
| 21 | District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain | |
| 22 | exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to | |
| 23 | section 1915(e)(1). <u>Rand</u> , 113 F.3d at 1525. | |
| 24 | Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek | |
| 25 | volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether | |
| | | |
| 26 | "exceptional circumstances exist, the district | court must evaluate both the likelihood of success |
| | "exceptional circumstances exist, the district of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff | court must evaluate both the likelihood of success |

| 1 | In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. At this | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed | |
| 3 | on the merits. Defendant has not been served with process or appeared in the case. Based on the | |
| 4 | record in this case, the court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. | |
| 5 | Further, the legal issue in this case – whether the defendant used excessive force against plaintiff - | |
| 6 | - is not complex, and this court is faced with similar cases almost daily. Therefore, plaintiff's | |
| 7 | motion shall be denied without prejudice to renewal of the motion at a later stage of the | |
| 8 | proceedings. | |
| 9 | For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY | |
| 10 | DENIED, without prejudice. | |
| 11 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Dated: July 11, 2014 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | 2 | |
| | | |