

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

COREY BURGESS,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
HECTOR ALFONZO RIOS,  
Respondent.

Case No. 1:12-cv-00777-AWI-SKO-HC  
ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON  
PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO  
FILE AN AMENDED PETITION (DOC. 44)

Petitioner is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter has been referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) (1) and Local Rules 302 and 303. Pending before the Court is a motion filed by Petitioner on February 18, 2014, in which Petitioner seeks leave to amend his "complaint," which the Court understands to be a motion for leave to file an amended petition.

A court has inherent power to control its docket and the disposition of its cases with economy of time and effort for both the court and the parties. Landis v. North American Co., 299 U.S.

1 248, 254-255 (1936); Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260 (9th  
2 Cir. 1992). The Court exercises its discretion to set a briefing  
3 schedule with respect to Petitioner's motion for the benefit of the  
4 Court and the parties.

5 Respondent shall FILE no later than thirty (30) days after the  
6 date of service of this order opposition or notice of non-opposition  
7 to Petitioner's motion.

8 Petitioner may FILE a reply to any opposition filed by  
9 Respondent to the motion no later than thirty (30) days after the  
10 date of service upon Petitioner of any opposition filed by  
11 Respondent.

12  
13  
14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: February 27, 2014

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE