1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	COREY BURGESS, 1:12-cv-00777-AWI-SKO (HC)
10	Petitioner, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
11	vs. APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
12	HECTOR ALFONZO RIOS, (DOCUMENT #4)
13	Respondent.
14	/
15	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no
16	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze,
17	258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984).
18	However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage
19	of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254
20	Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the
21	appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that
22	Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is denied.
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	Dated: May 31, 2012 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25	
26	
27	
28	