

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAFAEL GUZMAN,
Plaintiff,
v.
JOHN MARSHALL, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:12-cv-00828-LJO-SAB
ORDER DISREGARDING PLAINTIFF’S
OBJECTIONS
(ECF No. 24)

Plaintiff Rafael Guzman is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the complaint in this action on May 21, 2012. On February 18, 2014, an order issued finding cognizable claims and on March 10, 2014 the United States Marshal was directed to serve the second amended complaint. On May 12, 2014, Defendants filed an answer to the complaint. On June 23, 2014, Plaintiff filed an objection to the answer addressing the issue of his exhaustion of administrative remedies.

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provide, in relevant part, that there shall be a complaint, an answer, and a reply to an answer if the court orders one. Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(a). The Court has not ordered a reply to the answer and declines to make such an order. Therefore Plaintiff’s objection to the answer shall be disregarded.

