1			
2			
3			
4 5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	RAFAEL GUZMAN,) Case No.: 1:12-cv-00828-LJO-SAB (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND DECOMMENDATIONS DENVING	
13	v.	 RECOMMENDATIONS, DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND DIRECTING DEFENDANTS 	
14	JOHN MARSHALL, et al.,) TO FILE A FURTHER RESPONSE TO) COMPLAINT WITHIN THIRTY DAYS	
15	Defendants.) [ECF Nos. 29, 37, 41]	
16)	
17	Plaintiff Rafael Guzman is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action		
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.		
19	On March 17, 2015, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and Recommendations which was		
20	served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that Objections to the Findings and		
21	Recommendations were to be filed within thirty days. No Objections were filed.		
22	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § $636(b)(1)(C)$, the Court has conducted a <i>de</i>		
23	novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and		
24	Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.		
25	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
26	1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed on March 17, 2015, is adopted in full; and		
27	2. Defendants' motion for summa	ry judgment for failure to exhaust the administrative	
28	remedies is DENIED; and		
		1	

1	3. Defendants are directed to file a further response to the complaint within thirty (30)		
2	days from the date of service of this order.		
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
4	Dated	<u>May 4, 2015</u>	/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
5	Duicu.		/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
26 27			
27			
20			2