1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID CARTER,	No. 1:12-cv-00829-AWI-JLT (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF
13	v.	APPEALABILITY AS MOOT [Doc. No. 25]
14	JAMES D. HARTLEY, Warden,  Respondent.	ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION THAT DOCKETING FEE BE
15		WAIVED AS MOOT [Doc. No. 25]
16	respondent.	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR COPY OF TRANSCRIPT AS MOOT [Doc. No. 25]
17		
18		
19	On July 23, 2012, the District Court issued an order adopting the Findings and	
20	Recommendations and dismissing the case. In addition, the Court declined to issue a certificate	
21	of appealability. On August 13, 2012, Petitioner filed a notice of appeal and the appeal was	
22	processed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Petitioner also filed motions to proceed in form	
23	pauperis on appeal, and the motions were granted on August 14, 2012.	
24	On August 22, 2012, Petitioner filed a motion for certificate of appealability. The motion	
25	must be denied as moot. First, the District Court already declined to issue a certificate of	
26	appealability on July 23, 2012. Second, the Ninth Circuit dismissed the appeal on March 17,	
27	2017.	
28	On September 19, 2012, Petitioner fil	led a motion for copy of transcripts and a request that

the docketing fees be waived. These motions must also be denied as moot for the same reasons, to wit, the District Court granted Petitioner in forma pauperis status on August 14, 2012, and the Ninth Circuit dismissed the appeal on March 17, 2017. **ORDER** Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS**: 1) Petitioner's motion for certificate of appealability is DENIED as moot; and 2) Petitioner's motions for copy of transcripts and to waive appeal fees are DENIED as moot. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 21, 2017 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE