IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
FOR TH	E EASTERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	FRESNO	DIVISION
		$C_{\text{opt}}$ No. 1.12 or 00856 LIO DAM (DC)
HOMER TYRONE LEWIS,		Case No. 1:12-cv-00856-LJO-BAM (PC) ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' APPLICATION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER
	Plaintiff,	
v.		SCHEDULING OKDEK
KATHLEEN ALISON, et al.	,	
	Defendants.	
Plaintiff Homer Tyrone I	Lewis ("Plaintiff")	) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in
forma pauperis in this civil right	nts action pursuan	t to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 1, 2015, the
Court granted Plaintiff's reques	st for referral of th	is matter to the Pro Se Prisoner Settlement
Program. A settlement confere	ence is currently se	cheduled for June 5, 2015, before Magistrate
Judge Michael J. Seng.		
On April 10, 2015, Defe	ndant Denny filed	an application for modification of the scheduli
order. Defendant Denny seeks	a continuation of	the dispositive motion deadline from June 10,
2015, to July 10, 2015, due to t	the pending settler	ment conference. (ECF No. 102.) No response
necessary and the motion is de	emed submitted. <sup>1</sup>	Local Rule 230(1).
<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff will not be pr motion, it will correspondingly	rejudiced by the in $\gamma$ extend the time f	nability to respond. If the Court grants the for Plaintiff to file a dispositive motion, if any.
		1

Pursuant to Rule 16(b), a scheduling order "may be modified only for good cause and with
the judge's consent." Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). The "good cause" standard "primarily considers
the diligence of the party seeking the amendment." Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975
F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992). The court may modify the scheduling order "if it cannot
reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension." <u>Id.</u>

The deadline for filing all dispositive motions (other than a motion for summary judgment
for failure to exhaust) is June 10, 2015. Defendant Denny requests a thirty-day extension of this
deadline to allow the parties to participate in the settlement conference scheduled for June 5,
2015. Defendant Denny contends that if the parties are unsuccessful at resolving this action on
June 5, then there will be insufficient time to prepare and file a motion for summary judgment by
June 10, 2015.

Having considered Defendant's moving papers, the Court finds good cause for the brief continuance of the dispositive motion deadline in this action. The brief continuance will allow the parties to participate in the settlement conference and, if necessary, prepare any dispositive motion if the parties do not reach a settlement agreement. The brief thirty-day continuance will not result in measurable prejudice to Plaintiff or to witnesses in a matter that has been pending since 2012.

For these reasons, Defendant Denny's application to modify the scheduling order is
HEREBY GRANTED. The dispositive motion deadline is extended to July 10, 2015.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 10, 2015

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

18/ Barbara A. McAuliff

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE