1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 9 1:12-cv-00856-LJO-BAM (PC) HOMER TYRONE LEWIS, 10 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT 11 v. (ECF No. 28) 12 KATHLEEN ALISON, et al., ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR 45-DAY EXTENSION OF 13 Defendants. TIME TO FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING (ECF No. 30) 14 15 Plaintiff Homer Tyrone Lewis ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding in forma 16 pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 10, 2013, the 17 Court screened Plaintiff's third amended complaint and found that it stated a claim against 18 19 Defendants Alison, Adams, Junious, Denny, Parra and Garza for retaliation in violation of the 20 First Amendment. On December 23, 2013, the Court directed the United States Marshal to serve the third amended complaint on Defendants Alison, Adams, Junious, Denny, Parra and Garza. 21 (ECF No. 25.) 22 23 On March 24, 2014, Plaintiff filed the instant request for entry of default against 24 Defendant Adams. (ECF No. 28.) 25 On March 25, 2014, waivers of service were returned for Defendants Alison, Adams, Junious, Parra and Garza. The waivers were signed on March 20, 2014. (ECF No. 29.) 26 27 On April 1, 2014, Defendant Adams, along with Defendants Alison, Garza, Junious and 28 Parra, requested a forty-five day extension of time to file a responsive pleading. (ECF No. 30.)

The following day, on April 2, 2014, Defendant Adams filed an opposition to the motion for default. (ECF No. 31.)

Plaintiff moves for the Court to enter default against Defendant Adams. Entry of default is appropriate as to any party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought that has failed to plead or otherwise defend as provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and where that fact is made to appear by affidavit or otherwise. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). However, in this action, Defendant Adams appeared on April 1, 2014, when he requested an extension of time to file a responsive pleading, and on April 2, 2014, when he opposed entry of default. (ECF Nos. 30, 31.) Defendant Adams' appearance precludes any entitlement to entry of default or default judgment. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55; Franchise Holding II, LLC v. Huntington Rests. Grp., Inc., 375 F.3d 922, 927-28 (9th Cir. 2004) (if party appeared, clerk's entry of default void ab initio).

Additionally, a party may have the entry of default set aside upon a showing of good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(c). In determining if good cause exists to set aside the default judgment, "the court must consider three factors: (1) whether the party seeking to set aside the default engaged in culpable conduct that led to the default; (2) whether it had no meritorious defense; or (3) whether reopening the default judgment would prejudice the other party." <u>United States v. Signed Personal Check No. 730 of Yubran S. Mesle</u>, 615 F.3d 1085, 1091 (9th Cir. 2010) (internal punctuation and citations omitted). When a party is seeking relief from default prior to the entry of default judgment the test is liberally applied. Id. at 1091 n.1.

Here, if the Court entered default, it could easily be set aside. See, e.g., Knox v. Woodford, No. 1:07-cv-00144-AWI-DLB PC, 2010 WL 1956739, *1 (E.D.Cal. May 14, 2010). Defendant Adams is represented by the Attorney General's Office. The Attorney General's Office executed a waiver of service on March 20, 2014. Within less than two weeks, the Attorney General's officer requested an extension of time to respond to the complaint and opposed the entry of default on behalf of Defendant Adams. The Court finds no evidence of culpable conduct on the part of Defendant Adams. Counsel is currently obtaining records to address Plaintiff's allegations. Thus, there is no indication that Defendant Adams lacks a

meritorious defense. Finally, Plaintiff will not suffer any prejudice as discovery has yet to open in this action. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Plaintiff's motion for default, filed March 24, 2014, is DENIED; Defendants' motion for a forty-five day extension of time to file a responsive 2. pleading is GRANTED; and 3. Defendants shall file and serve a responsive pleading on or before May 1, 2014. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/Barbara A. McAuliffe Dated: **April 17, 2014** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE