1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	MICHAEL ANTHONY TODD,	Case No. 1:12-cv-01003-DAD-BAM (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AS MOOT
12	V.	
13	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION,	(ECF No. 83)
14	et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	/	
17		
18	On August 7, 2017, the Court dismissed Defendants Indermill, Albitre and Carron from	
19	this action on qualified immunity grounds. (ECF No. 79.) On August 28, 2017, Plaintiff filed a	
20	notice of appeal regarding the dismissal, which was processed to the United States Court of	
21	Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. (ECF Nos. 80, 81.) Subsequently, on September 1, 2017, Plaintiff	
22	filed a motion to proceed in forma pauperis on the appeal. (ECF No. 83.) Before the motion was	
23	addressed, the Ninth Circuit dismissed Plaintiff's appeal for lack of jurisdiction. (ECF No. 87.)	
24	Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal is HEREBY DENIED as	
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: September 28, 2017	Is/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
28	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	