(PC) Gonzale	z v. Bopari et al I		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
	CLEOFAS GONZALEZ,	CASE: 1:12-ev-01053-LJO-GBC (PC)	
11	Í	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR	
	V.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	
13	DR. BOPARI, et al,	Doc. 9	
14	Defendants.		
15	0. 1.1.05.0010 71.1.10		
16	On July 25, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff does		
	not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, <i>Rand v. Rowland</i> , 113 F.3d 1520,		
	1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot require an attorney to represent Plaintiff pursuant to 28		
	U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490		
	U.S. 296, 298 (1989). However, in certain exceptional circumstances the Court may request the		
	voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to § 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525.		
22	Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the Court will seek		
	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether		
24	"exceptional circumstances exist, the District Court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of		
	the merits [and] the ability of the [Plaintiff] to articulate his claims <i>pro se</i> in light of the complexity		
	of the legal issues involved." <i>Id</i> .		
27	•	In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even if	
28	it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations		
	Page 1 of 2		
		Dooks	

Doc. 10

1	which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This Court is faced with
2	similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early stage in the proceedings, the Court cannot make a
3	determination that Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the record
4	in this case, the Court does not find that Plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. <i>Id</i> .
5	For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY
6	DENIED, without prejudice.
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	Dated: July 25, 2012
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	
26 27	
28	
1.11	a a constant of the constant o