

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 CORNELIUS V. LEE,
11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 MATTHEW CATE, et al.,
14 Defendants.
15

Case No. 1:12-cv-01112-DLB PC

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

ECF Nos. 5, 11

RESPONSE DUE WITHIN THIRTY DAYS

16 Plaintiff Cornelius V. Lee ("Plaintiff") is a prisoner in the custody of the California
17 Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis
18 in this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 10, 2012, and August 27, 2012, the Court
19 issued an order requiring Plaintiff to respond as to whether he consented to jurisdiction by a United
20 States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). As of the date of this order, Plaintiff has not
21 responded. The Court may issue an order to show cause when a party fails to respond to a Consent
22 to Assignment or Request for Reassignment form. L.R. App. A(k)(2).

23 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 24 1. Plaintiff is to show cause within **thirty (30) days** why this action should not be dismissed for
25 failure to obey a court order;
- 26 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send Plaintiff a third Consent to Assignment or Request
27 for Reassignment form; and
- 28 3. If Plaintiff fails to show cause or otherwise timely respond, the Court will dismiss this action

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

without prejudice for failure to obey a court order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 21, 2012

/s/ Dennis L. Beck
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE