(PC) Warner v. Cate et al

Doc. 195

9

7

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

(8th Cir. 2001).

concurrently with this order.

In accordance with the above. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- This case is set for a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone on August 18, 2017 at 10:15 a.m. at the U. S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California 93721 in Courtroom #9.
- 2. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding settlement on defendant's behalf shall attend in person.¹
- 3. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages. The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in person may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference will not proceed and will be reset to another date.
- 4. The parties are directed to submit confidential settlement statements to the court using the following email address: saborders@caed.uscourts.gov. Settlement statements shall arrive no later than August 11, 2017. Upon submission of confidential settlement statements, each party shall file on the docket a "Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Statement." (See L.R. 270(d)).

Settlement statements **should not be filed** with the Clerk of the Court **nor**

comply with the requirement of full authority to settle. Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590, 596-97

¹ While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences...." United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9th Cir. 2012)("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989), cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9th Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), amended on recon. in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc., 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Pitman, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to

28