

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SUSAN MAE POLK,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MARY LATTIMORE, et al.,

Defendants.

1:12-cv-01156-AWI-GSA-PC

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS
(Doc. 17.)

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION
FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
(Doc. 11.)

Susan Mae Polk (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On July 18, 2013, findings and recommendations were entered, recommending that Plaintiff’s motion for preliminary injunctive relief be denied. (Doc. 17.) On August 30, 2013, Plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (Doc. 19.)

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Plaintiff’s objections, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. Plaintiff’s motion for injunction was filed following her filing of a First Amended Complaint. The First Amended Complaint was based on

1 conduct that occurred at CCWF, but Plaintiff is now in a different prison. Additionally, the First
2 Amended Complaint has been dismissed. Thus, the motion for preliminary injunction is attached
3 to a defunct pleading. Plaintiff's objections are overruled.
4

5 Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:

- 6 1. The Findings and Recommendations (Doc. No. 17) issued by the Magistrate
7 Judge on July 18, 2013, are ADOPTED IN FULL; and
- 8 2. Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunctive relief, filed on December 13, 2012
9 (Doc. No. 11), is DENIED.
10

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: March 14, 2014


13 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28