1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	ABRAHAM MUNOZ,	1:12-cv-01180-BAM (HC)
11	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
12	vs.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	R. LOPEZ,	(DOCUMENT #2)
14	Respondent.	
15	//	
16	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no	
17	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze,	
18	258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984).	
19	However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage	
20	of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254	
21	Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the	
22	appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that	
23	Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is denied.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: <u>July 23, 2012</u>	/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		
27		
28		