1			
$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2 \end{array}$			
$\frac{2}{3}$			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	SHERRY SLADE,) Case No.: 1:12-cv-01253 - LJO - JLT	
12	Plaintiff,)) ORDER ADOPTING IN FULL THE FINDINGS	
13	v.	 AND RECOMMENDATIONS GRANTING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR 	
14	CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	 ATTORNEYS' FEES PURSUANT TO THE EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT 	
15	Defendant.))	
16))	
17	Sengthiene Bosavanh, attorney for Plaintiff Sherry Slade, seeks an award for attorney's fees		
18	and expenses pursuant to the Equal Access for Justice Act under 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d). (Doc. 30.) On		
19	February 13, 2014, the Magistrate Judge found the Commissioner's position was not substantially		
20	justified and Plaintiff was entitled to an award of fees. (Doc. 32.) However, the Magistrate Judge found		
21	the total time expended by Ms. Bosavanh was not reasonable given the routine and duplicative nature		
22	of many tasks, and recommended the fee award be reduced to \$7,243.95. (Id. at 12.) Further, because		
23	Ms. Bosavanh failed to present any evidence of expenses, the Magistrate Judge recommended that		
24	Plaintiff's request for costs be denied. (<u>Id</u> .)		
25	The parties were granted fourteen days from the date of service, or until February 27, 2014 to		
26	file objections to the Findings and Recommendations. (Doc. 32 at 12.) In addition, the parties were		
27	"advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the		
28	District Court's order." (Id. at 12-13, citing Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991)). However,		

1	no objections were filed. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C) and <u>Britt v.</u>		
2	Simi Valley United School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983), this Court has conducted a de novo		
3	review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the Findings and		
4	Recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.		
5	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
6	1.	The Findings and Recommendations filed February 13, 2014 (Doc. 32) are	
7		ADOPTED IN FULL;	
8	2.	The request for costs in the amount of \$493.50 is DENIED ;	
9	2.	Plaintiff's request for attorney's fees (Doc. 30) is GRANTED in the modified amount	
10		of \$7,243.95; and	
11	4.	This amount SHALL be paid to Plaintiff Sherry Slade.	
12			
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
14	Dated:	February 28, 2014 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill	
15		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	