1	EDWARD F. DONOHUE (SBN 112730) edonohue@hinshawlaw.com	Patrick J. Richard (SBN 131046) Prichard@nossaman.com
2	CHRISTOPHER J. BORDERS (SBN 135901) cborders@hinshawlaw.com	James H. Vorhis (SBN 245034) jvorhis@nossaman.com
3	JOHN T. MENO (SBN 231238) jmeno@hinshawlaw.com	NOSSAMAN LLP 50 California Street, 34 <sup>th</sup> Floor
4	HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP	San Francisco, CA 94111
5	One California Street, 18th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	Telephone: 415.398.3600 Facsimile: 415.398.2439
6	Telephone: 415.362.6000 Facsimile: 415.834.9070	- and – Joan M. Cotkin (SBN 70665) jcotkin@nossaman.com
7	Attorneys for Defendant BANCINSURE, INC.	NOSSAMAN LLP 777 S. Figueroa Street, 34 <sup>th</sup> Floor
8	Jonathan D. Joseph (SBN 90564) Jonathan M. Cohen (SBN 168207)	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: 213.612.7800
9	jcohen@josephandcohen.com JOSEPH AND COHEN, A P.C.	Facsimile: 213.612.7801
10	1855 Market Street	Attorneys for FEDERAL DEPOSIT
11	San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone: 415.817.9200 Facsimile: 415.874.1997	INSURANCE CORPORATION, Plaintiffs as assignee of certain claims
12		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
14		
	I INITED CTATEC	DICTDICT COUDT
15		DISTRICT COURT
15 16		DISTRICT COURT  CT OF CALIFORNIA
16	EASTERN DISTRIC	
16 17	EASTERN DISTRIC FRESNO THOMAS T. HAWKER; JOHN J.	CT OF CALIFORNIA
16	EASTERN DISTRIC FRESNO THOMAS T. HAWKER; JOHN J. INCANDELA; DAVE KRAECHAN;	CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION
16 17 18 19	EASTERN DISTRIC  FRESNO  THOMAS T. HAWKER; JOHN J. INCANDELA; DAVE KRAECHAN; EDWIN JAY LEE; EDWARD J. ROCHA; and FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver,	CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION  Case No.: 1:12-cv-01261-SAB STIPULATION AND THIRD AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER
16 17 18 19 20	EASTERN DISTRIC  FRESNO  THOMAS T. HAWKER; JOHN J. INCANDELA; DAVE KRAECHAN; EDWIN JAY LEE; EDWARD J. ROCHA; and FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver,	CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION  Case No.: 1:12-cv-01261-SAB STIPULATION AND THIRD AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER  Hon. Stanley A. Boone
16 17 18 19 20 21	EASTERN DISTRIC  FRESNO  THOMAS T. HAWKER; JOHN J. INCANDELA; DAVE KRAECHAN; EDWIN JAY LEE; EDWARD J. ROCHA; and FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver,	CT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION  Case No.: 1:12-cv-01261-SAB STIPULATION AND THIRD AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER  Hon. Stanley A. Boone Complaint Filed: August 1, 2012
16 17 18 19 20	EASTERN DISTRIC  FRESNO  THOMAS T. HAWKER; JOHN J. INCANDELA; DAVE KRAECHAN; EDWIN JAY LEE; EDWARD J. ROCHA; and FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver,  Plaintiffs,	CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  Case No.: 1:12-cv-01261-SAB  STIPULATION AND THIRD AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER  Hon. Stanley A. Boone  Complaint Filed: August 1, 2012  Trial Date: October 6, 2014
16 17 18 19 20 21	EASTERN DISTRIC FRESNO THOMAS T. HAWKER; JOHN J. INCANDELA; DAVE KRAECHAN; EDWIN JAY LEE; EDWARD J. ROCHA; and FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver,  Plaintiffs, vs.	CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  Case No.: 1:12-cv-01261-SAB  STIPULATION AND THIRD AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER  Hon. Stanley A. Boone  Complaint Filed: August 1, 2012  Trial Date: October 6, 2014
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FRESNO  THOMAS T. HAWKER; JOHN J. INCANDELA; DAVE KRAECHAN; EDWIN JAY LEE; EDWARD J. ROCHA; and FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver,  Plaintiffs,  vs.  BANCINSURE, INC.; and DOES 1 through	CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  Case No.: 1:12-cv-01261-SAB  STIPULATION AND THIRD AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER  Hon. Stanley A. Boone  Complaint Filed: August 1, 2012  Trial Date: October 6, 2014
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	EASTERN DISTRIC FRESNO THOMAS T. HAWKER; JOHN J. INCANDELA; DAVE KRAECHAN; EDWIN JAY LEE; EDWARD J. ROCHA; and FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver,  Plaintiffs,  vs.  BANCINSURE, INC.; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive	CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  Case No.: 1:12-cv-01261-SAB  STIPULATION AND THIRD AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER  Hon. Stanley A. Boone  Complaint Filed: August 1, 2012  Trial Date: October 6, 2014
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	EASTERN DISTRIC FRESNO THOMAS T. HAWKER; JOHN J. INCANDELA; DAVE KRAECHAN; EDWIN JAY LEE; EDWARD J. ROCHA; and FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver,  Plaintiffs, vs.  BANCINSURE, INC.; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive  Defendants.	CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  Case No.: 1:12-cv-01261-SAB  STIPULATION AND THIRD AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER  Hon. Stanley A. Boone  Complaint Filed: August 1, 2012  Trial Date: October 6, 2014
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	EASTERN DISTRICE FRESNO THOMAS T. HAWKER; JOHN J. INCANDELA; DAVE KRAECHAN; EDWIN JAY LEE; EDWARD J. ROCHA; and FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver,  Plaintiffs,  vs.  BANCINSURE, INC.; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive  Defendants.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by an	CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  Case No.: 1:12-cv-01261-SAB  STIPULATION AND THIRD AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER  Hon. Stanley A. Boone  Complaint Filed: August 1, 2012  Trial Date: October 6, 2014  and between Plaintiffs Thomas T. Hawker,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	EASTERN DISTRIC FRESNO THOMAS T. HAWKER; JOHN J. INCANDELA; DAVE KRAECHAN; EDWIN JAY LEE; EDWARD J. ROCHA; and FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver,  Plaintiffs, vs.  BANCINSURE, INC.; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive  Defendants.	CT OF CALIFORNIA  DIVISION  Case No.: 1:12-cv-01261-SAB  STIPULATION AND THIRD AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER  Hon. Stanley A. Boone  Complaint Filed: August 1, 2012  Trial Date: October 6, 2014  and between Plaintiffs Thomas T. Hawker,  by Lee and Edward J. Rocha (collectively)

Receiver for County Bank (the "FDIC-R"), and Defendant BancInsure, Inc. ("BancInsure"), through their respective counsel of record, as follows:

- 1. This Court initially issued an Amended Scheduling Order (Docket no. 37) following a May 28, 2013 Scheduling Conference.
- 2. After the parties met-and-conferred regarding the most efficient and economic ways to manage this litigation, they submitted a stipulation to the Court requesting an Amended Scheduling Order. On August 29, 2013, this Court issued an Amended Scheduling Order (Docket No. 50) setting new dates and deadlines.
- 3. In an effort to build additional time into the schedule to resolve discovery disputes, the parties submitted a stipulation to the Court requesting a Second Amended Scheduling Order. On November 14, 2013, this Court issued an Amended Scheduling Order (Docket No. 59) setting the current summary judgment dates and deadlines.
- 4. The parties have continued to diligently pursue discovery related to the coverage issues since the issuance of the Second Amended Scheduling Order. However, the parties need additional time to take at least three depositions of witnesses that, based on scheduling conflicts, could not be completed by the due date of the opening summary judgment brief. In addition, the parties need additional time to exchange records and information regarding the completeness of the records produced or made available to date by each party.
- 5. Accordingly, the parties agree that good cause exists to adopt a Third Amended Scheduling Order such that 1) certain contract interpretation issues may still be addressed early in the litigation and prior to the resolution of other issues that could either be rendered moot or otherwise impacted by the early resolution of coverage issues, and so that 2) that all discovery related to the contract interpretation issue may be completed before cross summary-judgment motions are completely briefed before this Court.
- 6. The parties reiterate that an early resolution of the contract interpretation issues could substantially reduce costs and simplify the remaining issues to be resolved in the litigation irrespective of which party prevails on cross-summary-judgment motions

planned at the completion of "Phase I" as described below. Thus, if the Insureds and the FDIC-R prevail against coverage defenses raised in response to the Second Count for Breach of Contract, Count IV for Reformation would potentially become moot as would defenses raised by BancInsure to such claims such as estoppel and statute of limitations. If BancInsure prevails as to coverage defenses raised in Response to Count II, Count III for Breach of the Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing would potentially become moot.

- 7. The parties stipulate to and respectfully request that the Court issue a Third Amended Scheduling Order that amends the following dates and deadlines in this matter:
  - a. Phase I Discovery Completion: January 24, 2014
  - b. Phase I Motion Filing Deadline: January 31, 2014
  - c. Phase I Opposition Filing Deadline: February 21, 2014
  - d. Phase I Reply Filing Deadline: March 5, 2014
  - c. Phase I Motion Hearing: March 12, 2014
  - d. Further Case Management Conference: March 28, 2014
- 8. The parties stipulate that the trial date will remain October 6, 2014. The parties further stipulate that neither will contest the other's request for a continuance of the current trial date of up to 60 days.
- 9. The parties further stipulate and agree to engage in a formal alternative dispute resolution process, to be completed within 90 days of the Court's ruling on the Phase I motions.

SO STIPULATED:

1	DATED: December 18, 2013	HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP
2		
3 4		By: /s/ Edward F. Donahue Edward F. Donohue
5		Christopher J. Borders John T. Meno Attorneys for Defendant BANCINSURE,
6		INC.
7	DATED: December 18, 2013	JOSEPH AND COHEN, A P.C.
8		
9		By: /s/ Jonathan M. Cohen Jonathon D. Joseph Jonathan M. Cohen
10		Jonathan M. Cohen
11		Attorneys for the Insureds
12	DATED: December 18, 2013	NOSSAMAN LLP
13		
14		By: <u>/s/ James H. Vorhis</u> Patrick J. Richard
15		Patrick J. Richard James H. Vorhis Joan M. Cotkin
16		Attorneys for FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE COMPANY, Plaintiffs as assignee of certain claims
17		assignee of certain claims
18		
19		ORDER
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22		Tough 1. 1De
23	Dated: <b>December 19, 2013</b>	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		