1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
8		
9		
10	JOSE PELAYO,	Case No. 1:12-cv-01274-SKO PC
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISMISSING ACTION, WITH PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO STATE
12	v.	A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED UNDER SECTION 1983
13	K. SANTORO, et al.,	(Docs. 1, 7 and 11)
14	Defendants.	ORDER THAT DISMISSAL IS SUBJECT
15		TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915(G)
16		
17	Plaintiff Jose Pelayo, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this	
18	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on August 6, 2012. On March 13, 2013, the Court	
19	dismissed Plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim and ordered Plaintiff to file an amended	
20	complaint within thirty days. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A; 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). On March 29, 2013,	
21	Plaintiff was granted a ninety-day extension of time and on June 26, 2013, Plaintiff was granted a	
22	thirty-day extension of time. However, more than thirty days have passed and Plaintiff has not	
23	complied with or otherwise responded to the Court's order. As a result, there is no pleading on	
24	file which sets forth any claims upon which relief may be granted.	
25	Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), this action is	
26	HEREBY DISMISSED, with prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to state a claim upon which	
27	///	
28	///	

1	relief may be granted under section 1983. This dismissal is subject to the "three-strikes" provision	
2	set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). <i>Silva v. Di Vittorio</i> , 658 F.3d 1090, 1098-99 (9th Cir. 2011).	
3		
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated: September 27, 2013 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
7	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>		
<b>48</b>		