| (PC) Miller v | . Najera, et. al. I | |
|---------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 6 | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 8 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | STEVEN R. MILLER, | CASE No. 1:12-cv-01288-AWI-MJS (PC) |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | ORDER DENYING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA |
| 12 | V. | PAUPERIS |
| 13 | ALBERT NAJERA, et al., | (ECF No. 2) |
| 14 | Defendants. | |
| 15 | | _/ |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Digintiff Stoven D. Miller, a federal priceper proceeding pro-ce filed this civil rights | |
| 18 | Plaintiff Steven R. Miller, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se filed this civil rights | |
| 19 | action on July 30, 2012 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Bivens v. Six Unknown | |
| 20 | Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). (ECF No. 1.) On | |
| 21 | July 30, 2012, he filed a motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2), which is now | |
| 22 | before the Court. | |
| 23 | <i>III</i> | |
| 24 | <i>III</i> | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 27 | | |
| 21 | | -1- |
| | | |

Doc. 11

| 1 | On August 13, 2012, Plaintiff paid the filing fee. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion to | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | proceed in forma pauperis is DENIED as moot. | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| 7 | Dated: March 29, 2013 Isl Michael J. Seng | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |