

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
FRESNO DIVISION**

**PACIFIC COAST FEDERATION OF  
FISHERMEN’S ASSOCIATIONS, and SAN  
FRANCISCO CRAB BOAT OWNERS  
ASSOCIATION, INC.,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE  
INTERIOR, and UNITED STATES  
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION,**

**Defendants,**

**and**

**WESTLANDS WATER DISTRICT, SAN  
LUIS WATER DISTRICT, and PANOCHE  
WATER DISTRICT,**

**Defendant-Intervenors.**

**CASE NO. 1:12-CV-01303-LJO-MJS**

**AMENDED JUDGMENT AFTER  
APPEAL AND REMAND**

Pursuant to the remand instructions contained in the Amended Memorandum filed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit on July 25, 2016, [ECF No. 102] this Court’s Memorandum of Decision and Order Re Cross Motions for Summary Judgment filed February 6, 2014, granting summary judgment in favor of defendants, [ECF No. 88] and the judgment entered thereon [ECF No. 89] (“Order and Judgment”) are VACATED IN PART. For the reasons set forth in the remand instructions:

- 1 (1) As to the First Claim for Relief in Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint  
2 ("FAC"), filed December 4, 2012 [ECF No. 47], Judgment is hereby entered:  
3 a. In favor of Plaintiffs and against Federal Defendants and  
4 Defendant-Intervenors with respect to Plaintiffs' claim that the  
5 no-action alternative in Federal Defendants' Environmental  
6 Assessment ("EA") is unlawful;  
7 b. In favor of Plaintiffs and against Federal Defendants and  
8 Defendant-Intervenors with respect to Plaintiffs' claim that  
9 Federal Defendants' EA unlawfully fails to give full and  
10 meaningful consideration to an alternative reducing maximum  
11 contract quantities;  
12 c. Against Plaintiffs and in favor of Federal Defendants and  
13 Defendant-Intervenors with respect to all other aspects of  
14 Plaintiffs' First Claim for Relief in the FAC;
- 15 (2) As to the Second Claim for Relief the FAC, Judgment is hereby  
16 entered against Plaintiffs and in favor of Federal Defendants and  
17 Defendant-Intervenors;
- 18 (3) Defendants are hereby directed to proceed in a manner consistent with  
19 the conclusions of the Amended Memorandum in any future EA for an  
20 interim contract renewal; and
- 21 (4) This Court retains jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims for costs and  
22 attorneys' fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C.  
23 § 2412.

24  
25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: August 29, 2016

27 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill  
28 UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE