1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 RENO FUENTES RIOS, Case No. 1:12-cv-01334-LJO-SKO (PC) 11 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS 12 v. PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND 13 GIPSON, et al., (Docs. 104, 105, 108) 14 Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff, Reno Fuentes Rios, is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis 17 in this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States 18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 19 On July 19, 2018, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and Recommendations to deny 20 Plaintiff's motion to amend, which was served on the parties and notified the parties that 21 objections were to be filed within twenty-one days. (Doc. 108.) Despite lapse of more than the 22 allowed time, neither side has filed objections. 23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a 24 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the 25 Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. 26 /// 27 // 28

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. the Findings and Recommendations, issued on July 19, 2018 (Doc. 108), are
3	adopted in full;
4	2. Plaintiff's motion to amend, filed on June 12, 2018 (Doc. 105) is DENIED; and
5	3. the Clerk of the Court is directed to STRIKE Plaintiff's second amended
6	complaint, lodged on June 12, 2018 (Doc. 104), from the record in this case.
7	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	Dated: September 14, 2018 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
10	UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
フメー	