

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 BRYAN E. RANSOM,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 AGUIRRE, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

1:12-cv-01343-DAD-GSA-PC

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO COMPEL AS MOOT
(ECF No. 138.)**

17 Bryan E. Ransom (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with this civil rights
18 action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This case now proceeds with Plaintiff’s First Amended
19 Complaint filed on March 6, 2013, against defendants Aguirre, Alanis, Clark, Cortez, Kernan,
20 Mariscal, Messick, Moon, Perez, Singh, Ulit, Vallejo, Vogel, Wang, and Wooden (collectively,
21 “Defendants”), on Plaintiff’s claims for retaliation, adverse conditions of confinement,
22 inadequate medical care, and state tort violations, arising from events occurring in 2011. (ECF
23 No. 12.)

24 On October 10, 2016, Defendants filed a motion to compel Plaintiff to provide initial
25 disclosures to Defendants as required by the court’s discovery and scheduling order issued on
26 August 9, 2016. (ECF No. 138.) Defendants assert that they have not received any initial
27 disclosures from Plaintiff, and the deadline to provide initial disclosures expired on September
28

1 22, 2016. They also assert that Plaintiff has not requested any extension of time to serve his
2 initial disclosures or moved to modify the discovery and scheduling order.

3 On June 19, 2017, the court issued an order requiring Plaintiff to serve initial
4 disclosures on Defendants within thirty days. (ECF No. 149.) Because a new deadline has
5 been established for Plaintiff to serve initial disclosure, Defendants' motion to compel is moot
6 and shall be denied as such.

7 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' motion to compel, filed on
8 October 10, 2016, is DENIED as moot.

9
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: June 21, 2017

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE