1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAUL HERRERA,	1:12-cv-01357-JLT HC
12	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
13	VS.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL (Doc. 15)
14	JAMES D. HARTLEY,	ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING (Doc. 14)
15	Respondent.	FOR EVIDENTIART HEARING (Doc. 14)
16	/	
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel and an evidentiary hearing. (Docs. 14	
18	& 15).	
19	Regarding Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel, there currently exists no absolute	
20	right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d 479,	
21	481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). However, Title 18	
22	U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the	
23	interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present	
24	case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of counsel at the	
25	present time. Accordingly, Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel is denied without	
26	prejudice. If the Court determines, in the interests of justice, that appointment of counsel is	
27	required at some later date, the Court will make the appointment on its own motion.	
28	Regarding Petitioner's motion for an ev	videntiary hearing, the Court notes that Respondent
L		

1	was ordered to file a response on August 21, 2012; however, as of yet, Respondent has not filed a	
2	response to the petition. Until Respondent files a response, it is not clear whether there are any legal	
3	issues, much less factual issues, that would require action by this Court in order to decide the merits	
4	of the instant petition. Accordingly, Petitioner's motion for an evidentiary hearing is denied as	
5	entirely premature. When and if the Court decides that disputed issues of material fact require	
6	such an evidentiary hearing, the Court will order the hearing on its own motion.	
7	ORDER	
8	Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS as follows:	
9	1. Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (Doc. 15), is DENIED.	
10	2. Petitioner's motion for an evidentiary hearing (Doc. 14), is DENIED.	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated:September 20, 2012/s/ Jennifer L. ThurstonUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	