| 1 | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | UNITED STA | ATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 7 | | DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | Case No. 1:12-cv-01389-SMS (PC) | |
| 10 | ISABEL TUBACH, | ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS ACTION | |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO OBEY A COURT | |
| 12 | V. | ORDER | |
| 13 | DR. CHAUDRY, et al., | Doc. 10 | |
| 14 | Defendants. | RESPONSE DUE WITHIN FIFTEEN (15) DAYS | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 17 | | | |
| 17 | Thankin isaber Tubaen (Thankin) is a prisoner in the custody of the Camornia | | |
| 10 | Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"). Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in | | |
| 20 | <i>forma pauperis</i> in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the | | |
| 20 | Complaint in this action on August 23, 2012. Doc. 1. | | |
| 22 | In the Complaint, Plaintiff alleged that she was sexually abused and harassed in violation | | |
| 23 | of the Eighth Amendment. The complai | int failed to state specific factual details and the dates of | |
| 24 | the occurrences of which Plaintiff complains. The majority of the allegations are legal | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | On October 23, 2013, the Court ordered Plaintiff to submit within thirty (30) days a more | | |
| 28 | definite statement of facts so that the Court could screen the Complaint in compliance with 28 | | |
| | | 1 | |
| | | 1 | |

I

| 1 | U.S.C. § 1915A. Doc. 10. The order warned Plaintiff that failure to comply as directed might | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| 2 | result in the dismissal of this action under Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure | | |
| 3 | without further notice. Although more than thirty days have passed, Plaintiff has neither provided | | |
| 4 5 | a more definite statement of facts, requested an extension of time to comply, nor responded to the | | |
| 6 | Court's order in any other way. | | |
| 7 | The Court has discretion to impose any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or | | |
| 8 | within the inherent power of the Court, including dismissal of an action, based on Plaintiff's | | |
| 9 | failure to comply with a court order. Fed. R. Civ. P. 11; Local Rule 110. | | |
| 10 | Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that: | | |
| 11 | 1. within fifteen (15) days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall file a | | |
| 12 | written response to the Court, showing cause why this case should not be dismissed | | |
| 13 14 | for Plaintiff's failure to obey the Court's order of October 23, 2013; and | | |
| 14 | 2. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order will result in the dismissal of this action | | |
| 16 | without further notice. | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | Dated: December 4, 2013 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 24 | | | |
| 24 25 | | | |
| 25 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |
| | 2 | | |