

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JESSE WASHINGTON,	)	Case No.: 1:12-cv-01404-DAD-SAB (PC)
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	<b>ORDER DENYING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR COURT ORDER TO COMMUNICATE WITH INMATE WITNESS</b>
	)	
R. SAMUELS,	)	
	)	[ECF Nos. 76, 80]
Defendant.	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	

---

Plaintiff Jesse Washington is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On December 7, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for a court order to communicate with an inmate witness. (ECF No. 76.) On January 5, 2016, the Court directed Defendant to file a response to Plaintiff’s motion. (ECF No. 77.) Defendant filed a response to Plaintiff’s motion on January 22, 2016. (ECF No. 80.)

In his response, defense counsel submits a declaration and attests that the issues raised by Plaintiff in his motion have been addressed. (Decl. of Jason Braxton, ¶¶ 2-4.) More specifically, defense counsel declares that on January 21, 2016, he “received notice from the litigation coordinator at the institution housing Mr. Lawson (inmate witness) that Plaintiff’s request had been approved. (Id. at ¶ 3; Ex. A.) Accordingly, because Plaintiff has been authorized communicate with inmate witness

1 Lawson, Plaintiff's motion for a court order to authorize such communication shall be DENIED as  
2 MOOT.

3

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: January 25, 2016



UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28