1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ABEL VALENCIA,)	Case No.: 1:12-cv-01446-AWI-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,)	ORDER DENYING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION
13	V.)	[ECF No. 25]
14	CONNIE GIPSON, et al.,)	[======================================
15	Defendants.)	
16			
17	Plaintiff Abel Valencia is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action		
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.		
19	On April 23, 2014, the Court found service of the complaint appropriate and forwarded		
20	Plaintiff the necessary service of process documents.		
21	On May 19, 2014, Plaintiff submitted the complete service of process documents, along with a		
22	motion for clarification of the Court's April 23, 2014, order. In the motion, Plaintiff indicates he is		
23	unclear as to how many copies of the relevant CDCR 602 inmate appeal grievances is required for		
24	service of process.		
25	///		
26	///		
27			
28	///		

However, at this time all defendants have been served. Therefore, Plaintiff's pending motion for clarification is DENIED as MOOT. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **July 30, 2014** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE