

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

4 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5 LARISSA SCHUSTER,

6 Petitioner,

7 v.

8 JANEL ESPINOZA,

9 Respondent.

Case No. 1:12-cv-01482-AWI-SAB-HC

ORDER VACATING JULY 11, 2019  
HEARING

10  
11 In light of counsel for Respondent's response addressing the failure to lodge a complete  
12 recording of Petitioner's pretrial interview, the Court shall vacate the hearing set for July 11,  
13 2019 at 10:00 a.m.

14 Due to the impact of habeas cases on the Court's docket and the Court's desire to have  
15 cases decided in an expedient manner, the Court wishes to avoid expending judicial resources  
16 and, as federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction, refuses to exercise housekeeping  
17 jurisdiction. The Court admonishes that all counsel in any case proceeding in this Court, not  
18 support personnel, are expected to take the necessary steps to ensure that their submissions to the  
19 Court are accurate and to advise the Court in a timely manner of any issues that would prevent  
20 them from complying with court orders. If the representation as now set forth by the Respondent  
21 about the video recording is not fact accurate, then the Court will revisit this issue. For now the  
22 Court accepts the representation and the hearing is HEREBY VACATED.

23  
24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: July 10, 2019

26   
27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE