1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JOHN CATANZARITE,) Case No.: 1:12-cv-01502-LJO-SAB (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND	
13	v.	RECOMMENDATIONS, DENYING IN PART AND GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY HIDOMENT AS TO	
14	D. PIERCE, et al.,	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO EXHAUSTION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE DEMENDES AND DIRECTING DEFENDANTS	
15	Defendants.	 REMEDIES, AND DIRECTING DEFENDANTS PIERCE, HOLLAND, WALKER, AND MARSHALL TO FILE A FURTHER RESPONSE 	
16) WITHIN THIRTY DAYS	
17		() [ECF Nos. 63, 78]	
18	Plaintiff John Catanzarite is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action		
19	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.		
20	On June 16, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued Findings and Recommendations to deny in part		
21	and grant in part Defendants' motion for summary judgment relating to exhaustion of the		
22	administrative remedies. (ECF Nos. 63, 78.) The Findings and Recommendations were served on the		
23	parties and contained notice that objections were to be filed within thirty days. No objections were		
24	filed. ¹		
25	///		
26	///		
27			
28	On July 15, 2015, Defendants filed a statement of non-opposition to the Findings and Recommendations. (ECF No. 79.)		
	1		

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a de		
2	novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and		
3	Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.		
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
5	1.	The Findings and Recommendations, filed on June 16, 2015, is adopted in full;	
6	2.	Defendants' motion for summary judgment is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part;	
7	3.	Plaintiff exhausted the following two claims for relief:	
8		a). Plaintiff's due process claim relating to the November 24, 2010, hearing and	
9		failure to obtain DRB review as to Defendants Pierce and Holland;	
10		b). Plaintiff's due process claim relating to the February 1, 2012, classification	
11		hearing as to Defendants Pierce, Walker, and Marshall;	
12	4.	Plaintiff did not exhaust any claims against Defendants Carrasco, Croxton, Drake,	
13		Gassaway, Gonzalez, Liles, McLaughlin, Miner, Nipper, Reed, Rouston, Schulteis,	
14		Snider, or Steadman and these defendants are entitled to summary judgment; and	
15	5.	Plaintiff's claim for injunctive relief is moot and is dismissed.	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17	Dated:	August 11, 2015 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill	
18	6.	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			