1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	COALITION FOR CLEAN CASE NO. CV F 12-1569 LJO BAM CLEAN AIR, et al.,				
12	Plaintiffs, ORDER ON REPLY BRIEFING PAGE LIMITS				
13	vs.				
14	VS. VWR INTERNATIONAL, LLC,				
15	Defendant.				
16	/				
17	Plaintiffs seek leave to exceed this Court's reply briefing limits. This Court treats briefs in				
18	excess of page limits as a necessity, not a luxury to be taken lightly. This Court PERMITS plaintiffs to				
19	file a reply brief not to exceed 15 pages on the condition that such brief is well-organized, clear and				
20	succinct and avoids unnecessary duplication and otherwise complies with this Court's Local Rules.				
21	In addition, this Court ORDERS the parties to provide courtesy copies of their papers to				
22	chambers.				
23	The district judges of this Court carry the heaviest caseloads in the nation. The magistrate judges				
24	of this Court carry lesser caseloads and offer greater availability and flexibility to the parties. The				
25	district judges are unable to commit to address actions pending before them given limited resources and				
26	the need to prioritize criminal and older civil matters over more recently filed actions. As such, this				
27	Court urges the parties to consider the conduct of all further proceedings by a U.S. Magistrate Judge.				
28	A consent form is available on the Court's website <u>www.caed.uscourts.gov</u> by checking the Forms link				
	1				

1	or by telephoning the Clerk's Office at (559) 499-5600 or (559) 499-5601.				
2					
3	Dated:	November 5, 2012	/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
4			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
			2		