Counter Defendant.

28

STIPULATION

Whereas, on September 5, 2012, the District initiated this proceeding by filing its complaint for declaratory relief, pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Pro. § 1060, in the Superior Court for Merced County, California.

Whereas, on October 5, 2012, the County removed this action by notice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, and filed its answer and counterclaim to the District's complaint.

Whereas, by entry dated October 17, 2012, Magistrate Judge Oberto set a scheduling conference in this proceeding for December 13, 2012, and directed the parties to submit their scheduling report no later than December 6, 2012.

Whereas, on November 2, 2012, the District moved, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c), to remand this action to the Superior Court. The District also moved, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1), to dismiss the County's counterclaim. The motion to remand is scheduled to be heard on December 12, 2012, and hearing on the motion to dismiss has been deferred pending ruling on the motion to remand.

Whereas, the parties wish to avoid the cost of preparing for and appearing at the December 13, 2012 scheduling conference unless and until the Court were to deny the motion to remand.

Based on the foregoing, the Parties stipulate to defer the Rule 26 scheduling meeting, the exchange of Rule 26 disclosures, the joint scheduling report to the Court, and the Scheduling Conference presently set for December 13, 2012 pending the Court's hearing and ruling on the pending motion to remand. The parties further request the Court to set an adjourned date for the scheduling conference no earlier than 60 days after entry of an order ruling on the motion to remand, with the parties' Rule 26(a)(1) and (2) disclosures due within 14 days thereafter, the parties' Rule 26(f) conference to have been completed no later than 21 days prior thereto and the parties' report on their Rule 26(f) conference due no later than seven calendar days prior to the scheduling conference.

The Parties further agree that this stipulation may be executed in counterparts.

1	Dated: November 19, 2012 WAN	NGER JONES HELSLEY PC
2	By:	/s/ Oliver W. Wanger Oliver W. Wanger
3		Kurt F. Vote Attorneys for Defendant and Counter Claimant
4		County of Mariposa
5		
6	Dated: November 19, 2012	DUANE MORRIS LLP
7	Baica. 110 temoer 15, 2012	DOM (DIVIORIUS EDI
8	By:	/s/ Jolie-Anne Ansley Thomas M. Berliner
9		Jolie-Anne Ansley Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter Defendant
10		Merced Irrigation District
11	Dated: November 19, 2012	DUNCAN & ALLEN
12		
13	By:	/s/ John P. Coyle John P. Coyle
14		Abby C. Briggerman Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter Defendant
15		Merced Irrigation District
16		ORDER
17	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the Court continues the December 13, 2012, Scheduling	
18	Conference to April 2, 2013, at 9:30 a.m. The parties' Rule 26(f) conference shall be completed no	
19		
20	later than March 12, 2013; the parties' report on their Rule 26(f) conference shall be filed no later	
21	than March 26, 2013; and the parties' Rule 26(a)(1) and (2) disclosures shall be served no later than	
22	April 16, 2013.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25 26	Dated: November 21, 2012	/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
26 27		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		
20		