1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KAREN HARRIS,))	Case No.: 1:12-cv-001664-LJO-JLT
12	Plaintiff,)	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION
13	v.)	(Doc. 78)
14 15	BEAR VALLEY COMMUNITY SERVICES) DISTRICT, et al.,)	
16) Defendants.	
17)	
18	On October 16, 2013, the Court granted Defendant's motion to dismiss the third and fourth	
19	causes of action in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint for her failure to exhaust administrative	
20	remedies prior to pursuing her claims for retaliation and wrongful termination of violation of Cal.	
21	Labor Code § 1102.5. (Doc. 77). Plaintiff seeks clarification of the Court's order, asserting her third	
22	cause of action for wrongful termination in violation of public policy was based not only upon Cal.	
23	Labor Code § 1102.5, but also Title VII and FEHA. (Doc. 78).	
24	Defendant moved only for dismissal of the third cause of action for Plaintiff's failure to	
25	exhaust administrative remedies under the California Labor Code. No argument was presented	
26	regarding Title VII or FEHA, and the Court did not discuss these grounds for dismissal of Plaintiff's	
27	third cause of action. Consequently, Plaintiff's third cause of action survives to the extent it relies	
28	upon Title VII and FEHA.	

1	Based upon the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
2	1.	Plaintiff's motion for clarification is GRANTED ;	
3	2.	Plaintiff's third cause of action for wrongful termination is DISMISSED only as to its	
4		reliance upon a violation of Cal. Labor Code § 1102.5;	
5	3.	Plaintiff's third cause of action survives to the extent it is based upon alleged violations	
6		of Title VII and FEHA.	
7			
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
9	Dated:	November 4, 2013 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
10		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	