1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHRISTOPHER LANE WRIGHT,) Case No.: 1:12-cv-01672-AWI-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,)) \ FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION
13	v.	 RECOMMENDING DISMISSAL OF ACTION FOR FAILURE TO STATE A COGNIZABLE CLAIM
14	L.T. FORD, et al.,	
15	Defendants.) [ECF No. 26]
16)
17	Plaintiff Christopher Lane Wright is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights	
18	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	On November 19, 2013, Plaintiff's first amended complaint was dismissed, with leave to	
20	amend, for failure to state a cognizable claim. Despite having received two extensions of time,	
21	Plaintiff has failed to file a second amended complaint or otherwise responded to the Court's order	
22	within the allotted time frame. More than thirty days have passed and Plaintiff has not complied with	
23	or otherwise responded to the Court's order. As a result, there is no pleading on file which sets forth	
24	any claims upon which relief may be granted.	
25	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed, with prejudice	
26	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), this action is HEREBY DISMISSED, with	
27	prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted under section	
28	1983.	

1			
1	This Findings and Recommendation is submitted to the assigned United States District Court		
2	Judge, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Rule 304 of the Local Rules of		
3	Practice for the United States District Court, Eastern District of California. Within fifteen (15) days		
4	after being served with a copy, Plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on		
5	all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and		
6	Recommendation." The Court will then review the Magistrate Judge's ruling pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §		
7	636 (b)(1)(C). The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may		
8	waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. <u>Martinez v. Ylst</u> , 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).		
9			
10			
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
12	Dated: March 13, 2014		
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		