

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SYNRICO RODGERS,
Plaintiff,
v.
C.C. MARTIN, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:12-cv-01686-MJS (PC)

**ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
(ECF No. 17)**

Plaintiff Synrico Rodgers, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter proceeds against Defendants Martin and Blattel on claims of retaliation and deliberate indifference.

Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion seeking appointment of counsel on grounds he is an indigent pro se prisoner, with limited access to the law library and limited knowledge of the law, the issues are complex, and he has been unable to retain private counsel. (ECF No. 17.)

Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), partially overruled on other grounds, 154 F.3d 952, 954 n.1 (9th Cir. 1998), and the Court cannot require an attorney to represent

1 Plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the
2 Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances
3 the Court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to section 1915(e)(1).
4 Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525. However, without a reasonable method of securing and
5 compensating counsel, the Court will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and
6 exceptional cases. In determining whether “exceptional circumstances exist, the district
7 court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of the merits [and] the ability of the
8 [plaintiff] to articulate his or her claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues
9 involved.” Id. Neither of these factors is dispositive and both must be viewed together
10 before reaching a decision on request of counsel under section 1915(d). Wilborn v.
11 Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986); Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th
12 Cir. 2009).

13 The burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances is on the Plaintiff. See
14 Palmer, 560 F.3d at 970 (plaintiff “has not made the requisite showing of exceptional
15 circumstances for the appointment of counsel”); accord, Alvarez v. Jacquez, 415 F. App'x
16 830, 831 (9th Cir. 2011) (plaintiff “failed to show exceptional circumstances”); Simmons v.
17 Hambly, 14 F. App'x 918, 919 (9th Cir. 2001) (same); Davis v. Yarborough, 459 F. App'x
18 601, 602 (9th Cir. 2011) (plaintiff “did not show the ‘exceptional circumstances’ required to
19 appoint counsel under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1).”).

20 In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances.
21 The Court cannot make a determination at this early stage of the litigation that Plaintiff is
22 likely to succeed on the merits. The claims alleged do not appear to be novel or unduly
23 complex. The facts alleged to date appear straightforward and unlikely to involve any
24 extensive investigation and discovery.

25 Even if it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made
26 serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional.
27 This Court is faced with similar cases almost daily.

28 The papers filed by Plaintiff in this case reflect an appreciation of the legal issues

1 and standards and an ability to express same adequately in writing. The Court does not
2 find that at present, he cannot adequately articulate his claims pro se.

3 Finally, it is not clear Plaintiff has exhausted diligent efforts to secure counsel.¹

4 For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No.
5 17) is HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice.

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14
15 Dated: October 11, 2013

/s/ Michael J. Seng
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

¹ See e.g., Thornton v. Schwarzenegger, 2011 WL 90320, *3-4 (S.D.Cal. 2011) (cases cited).