U.S. District Court
E. D. California

1

| 1 | novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that the |
|----|---|
| 2 | Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation is supported by the record and proper analysis, |
| 3 | and there is no need to modify the Findings and Recommendations. |
| 4 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: |
| 5 | 1. The Findings and Recommendation issued November 21, 2012, is ADOPTED IN FULL; |
| 6 | 2. Grounds Two and Five are DISMISSED from the petition; |
| 7 | 3. The matter is REFERRED back to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings; and |
| 8 | 4. As this is not a "final order" which disposes of all claims in the petition, a certificate of |
| 9 | appealability is not required. 28 U.S.C. § 1291; Catlin v. United States, 324 U.S. 229, 233 (1945). |
| 10 | |
| 11 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 12 | Dated: December 28, 2012 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 13 | OMILD SIMILS DISTRICT JODGE |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

U.S. District Court
E. D. California

2