

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES E. CARROLL,

1:12-cv-1785 MJS (HC)

Petitioner,

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE  
SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE UNITED  
STATES DISTRICT COURT, EASTERN  
DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

v.

Warden,

Respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a motion to appoint counsel and a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

The petitioner is challenging a conviction from Shasta County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.

Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel or request to proceed in forma pauperis.

1 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. This court has not ruled on Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel or  
3 request to proceed in forma pauperis.

4 2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern  
5 District of California sitting in Sacramento; and

6 3. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number assigned and  
7 shall be filed at:

8 United States District Court  
9 Eastern District of California  
10 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200  
11 Sacramento, CA 95814

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: November 5, 2012

*/s/ Michael J. Seng*  
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26