1 2 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 LANA K. WILLIAMS, 1:12-CV-1888 AWI GSA 6 7 Plaintiff, ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S v. MOTION TO DISMISS AND 8 ORDER VACATING REX LEE HUHA, et al., **JANUARY 7, 2013 HEARING** 9 **DATE** 10 Defendants. (Doc. No. 3) 11 12 13 Defendant City of Madera has filed a motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. Hearing on this motion is set for January 7, 2013. However, Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma 14 pauperis was granted after Defendant filed its motion to dismiss. Since in forma pauperis status 15 has been granted, the complaint will be screened by the Magistrate Judge. Because the 16 17 Magistrate Judge has yet to screen the complaint, Defendant's motion to dismiss is premature. The Court will vacate the hearing and deny the motion to dismiss without prejudice. Following 18 19 the screening by the Magistrate Judge, if Defendant believes that dismissal is still warranted, then 20 Defendant may refile its motion to dismiss at that time. 21 22 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 23 The January 7, 2013, hearing on Defendant City of Madera's motion to dismiss is 1. 24 VACATED; and 25 Defendant City of Madera's motion to dismiss is DENIED without prejudice. 2. 26 IT IS SO ORDERED. 27 November 29, 2012 Dated: 28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Doc. 7