1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11	RUMALDO BARBOZA,) Case No.: 1:12-cv-01914 – AWI - JLT
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE ACTION
13	v.	SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FORPLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE
14	BARRY J. GREEN,) COURT'S ORDER
15	Defendant.) (Doc. 15)
16)
17	Plaintiff Rumaldo Barboza ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma

Plaintiff Rumaldo Barboza ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 30, 2013, the Court dismissed the complaint in this matter. (Doc. 15). The Court granted Plaintiff 21 days from the date of service of the order to comply with the July 30, 2013 order. <u>Id</u>. Nonetheless, more than 21 days have passed and Plaintiff has failed to file a first amended complaint.

The Local Rules, corresponding with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, provide, "[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with . . . any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court." Local Rule 110. "District courts have inherent power to control their dockets," and in exercising that power, a court may impose sanctions including dismissal of an action. <u>Thompson v. Housing Authority of Los Angeles</u>, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action with prejudice, based on a party's failure to prosecute

1 an action or failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules. See, e.g. Ferdik v. 2 Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with an order requiring amendment of complaint); Malone v. U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) 3 (dismissal for failure to comply with a court order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th 4 5 Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to prosecute and to comply with local rules). Plaintiff is advised that this will be the Court's FINAL order for Plaintiff to file his first 6 7 amended complaint. Should Plaintiff fail to file his first amended complaint, the Court will issue 8 findings and recommendations that this matter be dismissed. 9 Accordingly, Plaintiff is **ORDERED** to show cause within **<u>14 days</u>** of the date of service of

this Order why the action should not be dismissed for his failure comply with the Court's order. In the alternative, within this same <u>14-day time period</u>, Plaintiff may file his first amended complaint.

|| IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 27, 2013

23

24

25

26

27

28

10

11

12

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE