2

1

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

v.

K. HOLLAND, et al.,

1213

14

15 16

17

18

1920

2122

23

2425

26

2728

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NICHOLAS REID WILDS, CASE NO. 1:12-cv-01950-SAB (PC)

Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

(ECF No. 3)

Defendants.

Plaintiff Nicholas Reid Wilds is a state prisoner appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 30, 2012, Plaintiff filed a complaint and motion for appointment of counsel.¹

Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, <u>Rand v. Rowland</u>, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <u>Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa</u>, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525.

Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether

¹The court has not yet screened plaintiff's complaint to determine whether it contains any cognizable claims for relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. The court has many civil cases pending before it and will screen plaintiff's complaint in due course.

"exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." Id. (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This court is faced with similar cases almost daily alleging violations of the Eighth Amendment. Further, at this early stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits.

For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>February 20, 2013</u>