(HC) Herrera v. Gi	ipson	Doc. 8
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	LIMITED STATES DIS	TDICT COLIDT
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	ROBERTO HERRERA, 1:12-C	V-02005 GSA HC
13		R DENYING PETITIONER'S ON FOR RECONSIDERATION
14		
15		
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus	
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has consented to the jurisdiction of the magistrate judge pursuant	
20	to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).	
21	On January 3, 2013, the undersigned issued an order dismissing the petition for failure to	
22	state a cognizable claim and failure to exhaust administrative and state court remedies. On January	
23	22, 2013, Petitioner filed a motion for reconsideration pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	
24	§ 60(b).	
25	Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides:	
26	On motion and just terms, the court may relieve a party or its legal representative from a final judgment, order, or proceeding for the following reasons:	
27	<ul><li>(1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect;</li><li>(2) newly discovered evidence that, with reasonable diligence, could not</li></ul>	
28	have been discovered in time to m	ove for a new trial under Rule 59(b);
U.S. District Court  E. D. California	1	

1	(3) fraud (whether previously called intrinsic or extrinsic), misrepresentation, or misconduct by an opposing party;		
2	(4) the judgment is void; (5) the judgment has been satisfied, released, or discharged; it is based on an earlier		
3	judgment that has been reversed or vacated; or applying it prospectively is no		
4	longer equitable; or (6) any other reason that justifies relief.		
5	Petitioner fails to meet this standard. Petitioner does not set forth any arguments or evidence		
6	that have not already been considered by this Court. The federal petition was filed beyond the		
7	expiration of the statute of limitations, and Petitioner has not sought relief either administratively or		
8	in the state courts. Petitioner's arguments present no basis for relief.		
9	Accordingly, Petitioner's motion for reconsideration is DENIED.		
10			
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
12	Dated: January 28, 2013 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			