| 1 | | |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | ANTHONY JOHNSON, |) Case No.: 1:12-cv-02044-SAB (PC) |
| 12 | Plaintiff, |))) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION |
| 13 | v. |) FOR EARLY SETTLEMENT |
| 14 | DR. SISODIA, et al., |) [ECF No. 45] |
| 15 | Defendants. |) |
| 16 | |)) |
| 17 | Plaintiff Anthony Johnson is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action | |
| 18 | pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. | |
| 19 | Now pending before the Court is Plaintiff's request for the Court to refer this case to the pro se | |
| 20 | prisoner settlement conference program. Plaintiff's request must be denied as premature. | |
| 21 | The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure authorize settlement discussions at any pretrial | |
| 22 | conference. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c)(I). While federal courts have the authority to require the parties to | |
| 23 | engage in settlement conferences, they have no authority to coerce settlements. Goss Graphic | |
| 24 | Systems, Inc. v. DEV Industries, Inc., 267 F.3d 624, 627 (7th Cir. 2001). | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | 1 |
| | | 1 |

| 1 | Because Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment on the merits of his deliberate | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | indifference to a serious medical need on August 14, 2015, Plaintiff's motion for a settlement | |
| 3 | conference is premature and is DENIED. | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 6 | Dated: August 27, 2015 | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 2 | |
| | | |