

1 pages of written and typed objections, along with exhibits. His total filing exceeded 100 pages. (ECF
2 No. 19.)

3 Plaintiff's objections include a restatement of the findings and recommendations and several
4 pages of nonsensical paragraphs. Plaintiff's written objections do not establish this court's jurisdiction
5 to grant the preliminary injunctive relief that he requests against persons at Corcoran State Prison. At
6 this time, the court makes no determination regarding the merits of Plaintiff's underlying complaint,
7 which was filed on November 1, 2012, and names Lt. E. Cervantes at CDCR-Jamestown.

8 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a de
9 novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Plaintiff's objections,
10 the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper
11 analysis.

12 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 13 1. The findings and recommendations, issued on May 21, 2013, are adopted in full;
- 14 2. Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunctive relief, filed February 22, 2013, is DENIED
15 with prejudice for lack of jurisdiction.

16
17
18
19
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: July 1, 2013

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE