1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KRISHNA REDDY,) CASE NO. 1:12-cv-02061-AWI-SAB
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S EX) PARTE APPLICATION SHORTENING
13	vs.	TIME TO HEAR DEFENDANT'S AMENDED MOTION TO AMEND THE
14	PRECYSE SOLUTIONS LLC,) SCHEDULING ORDER
15	Defendant.)
16)
17)
18		<u>'</u>
19	Defendant Precyse Solutions LLC filed an ex parte motion to amend the scheduling order	
20	on April 10, 2015. The Court issued an order on April 13, 2015, advising the parties that	
21	amendment of the scheduling order would be addressed at the May 6, 2015 hearing on Plaintiff's	
22	motion for a protective order. On April 14, 2015, Defendant filed an amended motion to amend	
23	the scheduling order and an ex parte application for an order shortening time for the hearing.	
24	As the Court has previously ordered that Defendant's request to amend the scheduling	
25	order shall be considered at the May 6, 2015 hearing, the Court will grant Defendant's ex parte	
26	application for an order shortening time.	
27	According, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
28	1. Defendant's amended motion to amend the scheduling order shall be heard on	
		1
		-1-

1	May 6, 2015, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 9;
2	2. Plaintiff's opposition to the amended motion shall be filed on or before April
3	27, 2015 ; and
4	3. Defendant's reply, if any shall be filed on or before May 4, 2015 .
5	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	Dated: April 15, 2015 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-2-