

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 KEVIN D. BRYANT,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 R. ROMERO, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16  
17

1:12-cv-02074-DAD-GSA-PC

ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO  
FILE OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT  
OF NON-OPPOSITION TO MOTIONS  
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BY  
DEFENDANTS CASTELLANOS AND  
WADDLE, WITHIN SIXTY (60)  
DAYS  
(ECF Nos. 92, 96.)

18 On March 28, 2016, defendant E. Castellanos filed a motion for summary judgment,  
19 (ECF No. 92), and on April 1, 2016, defendant C. Waddle filed a motion for summary  
20 judgment, (ECF No. 96). Plaintiff was required to file an opposition or a statement of non-  
21 opposition to each of the motions within twenty-one days, but has not done so. Local Rule  
22 230(l).

23 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

24 1. Within **sixty (60) days** from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff must file  
25 an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to each of two motions for  
26 summary judgment:

27 (1) Motion for Summary Judgment filed by defendant Castellanos on March  
28 28, 2016; and

1 (2) Motion for Summary Judgment filed by defendant Waddle on April 1,  
2 2016;

3 2. If Plaintiff fails to comply with this order, this action will be dismissed, with  
4 prejudice, for failure to obey the court's order and failure to prosecute.

5  
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: September 27, 2016

/s/ Gary S. Austin  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28