1		
$\frac{1}{2}$		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CARL ETHRIDGE,) Case No.: 1:12-cv-02088-AWI-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,)) \ ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
13	v.	RECOMMENDATION, DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS, AND
14	LAWRENCE, et al.,	 DIRECTING DEFENDINATS TO FILE A FURTHER RESPONSE WITHIN THIRTY DAYS
15	Defendants.)) [ECF Nos. 24, 32]
16		_)
17	Plaintiff Carl Ethrdige is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	On November 18, 2014, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and Recommendations which	
20	was served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that Objections to the Findings and	
21	Recommendations were to be filed within thirty days. No Objections were filed.	
22	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § $636(b)(1)(C)$, the Court has conducted a <i>de</i>	
23	<i>novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and	
24	Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.	
25 26	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
26	1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed on November 18, 2014, is adopted in full;	
27 28	and2. Defendants' motion to dismiss	s is DENIED in its entirety; and
20		1
		1

1	3. Defendants are directed to file a further response to the operative complaint within	
2	thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Durda:	
6	SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
15		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	