1 2	David Sheuerman, SB#78132 Monique Shamun-Khasho, SB#78132 SHEUERMAN, MARTINI, TABARI, ZENERE & GARVIN		
3	1033 Willow Street San Jose, California 95125		
4	(408) 288-9700 Telephone (408) 295-9900 Facsimile		
5	Attorneys for Defendant		
6	LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL AT STANFORD		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	CHRISTINE DEETHS, an individual,	Case No: 1:12-cv-02096-LJO-JLT	
11	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER ON STIPULATION TO	
12	v.	EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT	
13	LUCILE SALTER PACKARD CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL AT STANFORD, a California	(Doc. 13)	
14	corporation; JOHN STIRLING, Jr., individually; CEDARS-SINAI MEDICAL CENTER, a		
15	California non-profit corporation; CHRISTOPHER HARRIS, individually; BAKERSFIELD		
16	MEMORIAL HOSPITAL, a California corporation; ANTHONY THOMAS, individually; LEGACY		
17	BEHAVIORAL SERVICES, INC., a California corporation; TARA CRUZ, an individual; EDDIE		
18	CRUZ, an individual; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21	The parties to this action, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate as follows:		
22	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b), the time for defendant LUCILE		
23	PACKARD CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL AT STANFORD to respond to plaintiff Christine Deeths'		
24	Complaint for Damages is hereby extended by 28 days. The new deadline to file a response to the		
25	complaint is now April 16, 2013.		
26			
27			
28			
	Page 1 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT		

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
2	Dated: March 13, 2013	SHEUERMAN, MARTINI, TABARI, ZENERE & GARVIN	
3			
4		By:/s/	
5		DAVID SHEUERMAN MONIQUE SHAMUN-KHASHO	
6 7		Attorneys for Defendant LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL AT STANFORD	
8			
9			
10 11	Dated: March 13, 2013	THE LAW OFFICES OF SHAWN A. McMILLAN, APC	
12		By:/s/	
13	SHAWN A. McMILLAN		
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff CHRISTINE DEETHS		
5		ODDED	
16	ORDER		
7	Before the Court is the third stipulation of the parties to allow Defendants additional time to file their responsive pleading. (Doc. 13) There was no explanation provided in the first or second		
8	stipulation why these extensions were needed and none is provided here. Therefore, the Court		
9	ORDERS:	ere needed und none is provided nere. Therefore, the court	
20		e their responsive pleading no later than April 16, 2013. <u>No</u>	
21	further extensions will be authorized;		
22	 The mandatory scheduling conference is CONTINUED to June 10, 2013 at 8:30 a.m. 		
23			
24			
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
26	Dated: <u>March 19, 2013</u>	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
27			
28			
	Page 2 STIPULATION		