

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 VALLEY VIEW HEALTH
9 CARE INC., et al.,

CASE NO. CV F 13-0036 LJO BAM

10 Plaintiffs,

ORDER ON AARP'S JOINDER REQUEST
(Doc. 82.)

11 vs.

12
13 RONALD CHAPMAN, M.D., et al.,

14 Defendants.
15
16 _____/

17
18 Non-party AARP, without this Court's approval, filed a joint amicus brief with non-
19 party California Advocates for Nursing Home Reform ("CANHR"), which had received court
20 approval to file an amicus brief. This Court DISFAVORS unilateral filing of briefs, even as a
21 joinder, without this Court's prior approval. Moreover, parties to this action have thoroughly
22 briefed and addressed the limited issues involved in this action and arguably have burdened an
23 overburdened court with their voluminous filings. This Court carries the most voluminous
24 caseload of any U.S. District Court and must devote its limited staff and resources to best
25 manage its overwhelming workload. As such, this Court will review in passing AARP-
26 CANHR's papers and will not necessarily refer to them or otherwise consider them in reaching
27 its decision. This Court PROHIBITS the parties, AARP, CANHR and Glenn Patrick to file
28 any further papers in connection with summary judgment, unless this Court orders otherwise.

1 Papers filed in violation of this order will be stricken. The clerk is directed to term doc. 82.

2

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4

Dated: January 9, 2014

5

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28