

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KONG MENG XIONG, and  
LUH XIONG

Case No. 1:13-cv-00083-SKO

Plaintiffs,

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'  
REQUEST TO EXTEND PAGE LIMITS  
FROM MOTION FOR SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT**

v.

(Doc. 43)

CITY OF MERCED, et al.,  
Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

On May 26, 2015, Defendants filed a letter brief requesting a page-limit extension on their motion for summary judgment. Defendants explain they wish to file one summary judgment motion addressing the claims of both Plaintiffs. To adequately address all the claims against each of the five Defendants, however, Defendants seek to extend the motion page limit from 30 to 50 pages.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

As there are multiple plaintiffs and defendants, a number of claims at issue, and because Defendants plan to address each Plaintiff's claims together in one motion, both Defendants and Plaintiffs may file briefs (motion and opposition briefs) up to 50 pages, exclusive of a table of contents, a table of cases, and any supporting declarations and exhibits. Any reply brief may be up to 30 pages in length.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 27, 2015

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE