- 1	M
2	mł
3	Do dp
4	M
5	51
6	Lo Ph
7	Fa
8	At
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	CA PI
14	ino sit
15	
16	
17	JE
18	Se Co
19	thi
20	
21	
22	De
23	Co
24	

25

26

27

28

- 1	
1	BLECHER COLLINS PEPPERMAN & JOYE, P.C.
	Maxwell M. Blecher (State Bar No. 26202)
2	mblecher@blechercollins.com
3	
	Donald R. Pepperman (State Bar No. 109809) dpepperman@blechercollins.com
4	Majed Dakak (State Bar No. 271875) mdakak@blechercollins.com
	mdakak@blechercollins.com
5	515 South Figueroa Street, Suite 1750
	Los Angeles, CA 90071
6	Phone: (213) 622-4222
7	Fax: (213) 622-1656
´	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
0	1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION

CARLOS SILVEIRA, GEORGETTE PICKETT, and CHARLES HUGHES, as individuals, and on behalf of all other similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY A. BEARD, in his capacity as the Secretary of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation; and DOES 2 through 10, inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:13-CV-00084-AWI-BAM

STIPULATION AND JOINT REQUEST TO CONTINUE MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE; ORDER

Whereas, in a Stipulation and Joint Request to Continue Scheduling Conference filed on December 2, 2013, the parties jointly requested continuance of the Mandatory Scheduling Conference in light of the parties' informal meet and confer discussions regarding potentially resolving the instant action;

Whereas, on December 4, 2013, the Court granted the parties' Joint Request, and continued the Mandatory Scheduling Conference to February 10, 2014;

Whereas, since the Court's Order continuing the Mandatory Scheduling Conference, the parties have discussed and engaged in informal discovery, with the hope that informal discovery

STIPULATION AND JOINT REQUEST TO CONTINUE MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE; ORDER

might facilitate prompt resolution of the action prior to the commencement of formal discovery.

Whereas, since the Court's Order continuing the Mandatory Scheduling Conference, Defendant has produced in informal discovery documents and supporting information related to the administration of Plaintiffs' wages, including payroll, contract and policy documents;

Whereas, Defendant and Plaintiffs have conferred on multiple occasions regarding the merits of Plaintiffs' allegations that Defendant's policies violate the Fair Labor Standards Act;

Whereas, Plaintiffs are currently reviewing the information and documents produced in informal discovery; however, based on the documents and other information provided, Plaintiffs and Defendant have begun discussing potential resolution of the action, including but not limited to, dismissal of the case.

Whereas, while the parties continue to examine the merits and procedure of potentially resolving the action, both Plaintiffs and Defendant agree that additional time is needed to consider their options and confer with necessary individuals;

Whereas, given Plaintiffs' action seeks only prospective declaratory relief, the parties agree Plaintiffs and putative collective action members will not be prejudiced by a continuance of the mandatory scheduling conference date and related deadlines;

Whereas, Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires the parties to confer over a proposed discovery plan by January 20, 2014, or, at least 21 days before the Mandatory Scheduling Conference, and submit a discovery plan and make initial disclosures by January 27, 2014, or, 14 days after the discovery conference;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

Whereas, this Court's Order Setting Mandatory Scheduling Conference requires parties to confer over the Joint Scheduling Report by January 21, 2014, or, at least 20 days before the Mandatory Scheduling Conference, and requires parties to submit a Joint Scheduling Report to the Court by February 3, 2014, or, one (1) full week prior to the Mandatory Scheduling Conference date; Whereas, the parties agree that continuing the Mandatory Scheduling Conference and related deadlines will facilitate a potential resolution of this action and conserve the resources of the Court. Accordingly, the parties to this action, through their respective attorneys of record, hereby STIPULATE and JOINTLY REQUEST this Court continue the Mandatory Scheduling Conference until March 3, 2014, or to a date and time thereafter that is convenient for the Court. The parties further STIPULATE and JOINTLY REQUEST this Court continue related deadlines to meet and confer and submit a Joint Scheduling Report and discovery plan, and deadline to 14 provide initial disclosures, as set by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Court's Order 15 Setting Mandatory Scheduling Conference. **16** Dated: January 24, 2014 Respectfully submitted, JOAN A. MARKOFF Chief Counsel DAVID J. NEILL Deputy Chief Counsel By: /s/ David D. King DAVID D. KING Labor Relations Counsel 22 Attorney for Defendant Dated: January 24, 2014 Respectfully submitted, BLECHER COLLINS PEPPERMAN & JOYE, P.C.

/s/ Majed Dakak

Majed Dakak

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:

Blecher Collins Pepperman & Joye

ORDER

In consideration of the Parties' Stipulation and Joint Request to Continue the Mandatory Scheduling Conference, and related deadlines, and good cause having been shown, it is ORDERED that the Mandatory Scheduling Conference, currently set for February 10, 2014, shall be continued to 8:15 a.m. on March 6, 2014. Related deadlines to meet and confer and submit a Joint Scheduling Report, to provide initial disclosures, and to meet and confer and provide a written discovery plan under Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, are similarly continued.

Related deadlines to meet and confer and submit a Joint Scheduling Report, to provide initial disclosures, and to meet and confer and provide a written discovery plan under Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, are similarly continued.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 24, 2014 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE